



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,649	07/07/2000	Matthew T. Uyttendaele	149497.2	6505

27662 7590 03/12/2003

LYON & HARR, LLP
300 ESPLANADE DRIVE, SUITE 800
OXNARD, CA 93036

EXAMINER

WU, JINGGE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/611,649

Applicant(s)

UYTTENDAELE ET AL.

Examiner

Jingge Wu

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 July 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --
(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 16-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by US 6043837 to Driscoll et al.

As to claim 1, Driscoll discloses a process of encoding frames of a panoramic video so as to allow selective decoding of the frame, comprising the process actions of:

segmenting each frame of the panoramic video into plural corresponding regions (subset) (abstract); and

separately encoding each region of the panoramic frames (abstract, col. 1 lines 38-62, col. 3 line 55-col. 4 line 54).

As to claim 3, Driscoll further discloses the action of decoding only those encoded regions of each panoramic video frame needed to display a prescribed portion of the scene depicted by each frame (col. 4 line 18-col. 6 line 24).

As to claim 4, the limitations are addressed with regard to claim 1.

As to claim 5, Driscoll further discloses for each frame, obtaining information, as to what portion of a scene depicted by the frame under consideration of user and sending only those frame portions to the user (col. 6, lines 11-24).

Art Unit: 2623

As to claims 16-21, the discussions are addressed with regard to claims 1, and 3-5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 6-15, 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Driscoll in view of US 6337708 to Furian et al.

As to claim 2, Driscoll does not disclose an identical segmentation pattern.

Furian, in an analogous environment, discloses segmentation of the panoramic video into tiles pattern (Figs. 4-5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the scheme of Furian in the process of Driscoll in order to efficiently distribute the panoramic images (Furian, col. 1).

As to claim 6, Furian further discloses data file comprising the data associated with each frame region and an indicator that identifies its corresponding panoramic video frame and its location within that frame (Fig. 4-6, col. 5 line 17-col. 7 line 56).

As to claims 7-10, Furian further discloses creating data file for each tile (Fig. 4 and 9), creating an indicator for each separated region (tile) (Fig. 4-6, col. 5 line 17-col. 7 line 56), sending the data file from a server to client (Fig. 4).

As to claims 11-13, Furain further discloses a user can view only a portion of the panoramic video by identifying what portion the user wants to view, and the sever will send data files comprising the portion identified to the viewer on client side via two way communication network (col. 7 line 18-col. 8).

As to claim 14, Furain further discloses storage medium accessible by the viewer (Fig. 4 and 10).

As to claim 15, the limitations are addressed with regard to claims 11-13.

As to claims 22-30, the are addressed with regard to claims 6-15.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 6470378 to Traction et al, and the article "A fast method of reconstructing high-resolution panoramic stills from MPEG-compressed video" to Altunbasak et al. disclose methods for transmitting and reconstructing panoramic video.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Jingge Wu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9588. He can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm. The examiner can be also reached on second alternate Fridays.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to TC customer service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Application/Control Number: 09/611,649
Art Unit: 2623

Page 5

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au, can be reached at (703) 308-6604.

The Working Group Fax number is (703) 872-9314.

Jingge Wu

Primary Patent Examiner

